CF 1202 SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 1216 /2007

PETITIONER : Ku. Ramila, Daughter of Late Udhav Sarthi, aged about 21 years, resident of Village Bhalumuda, Gram Panchayat Rodopali, Tahasil Gharghoda, District Raigarh (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1424/07 MK Sinha Presented on 20/12/07

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS 1. Smt. Gurwari wife of Shri Vinod Kuamr Patel, resident of Village Bhalumuda, Tahasil Gharghoda, District Raigarh (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY 20/12/07 H. C. BILASPUR

2. Project officer, Integrated Bal Vikas Pariyojana, Tamnar, Tahasil Gharghoda, District Raigarh (C.G.).

3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Tamnar, Tahasil Gharghoda, District Raigarh (C.G.).

4. The Secretary, Janpad Panchayat, Tamnar, Tahasil Gharghoda, District Raigarh (C.G.).

(2) (5)

5. Additional Collector, Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.).

6. State of Chhattisgarh, acting through Secretary, Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT/WRITS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS ETC.

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No. 1216 of 2007

(43)

Petitioner Ku. Ramila

Versus

Respondents Smt. Gurwari and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Shri M. K. Sinha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Miss Deepali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on this 8th day of March, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

1. The petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 6.2.2007 (Annexure P/10), passed by the Additional Collector in Appeal No. 59/A-89/2006-2007.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that that the petitioner may be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to file review against the impugned order dated 6.2.2007, before the Collector/Additional Collector, as according to the petitioner the name of the petitioner was very much in the voter list, which has been ignored.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has no objection to the above submission.
4. In view of the above, this petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn with the aforestated liberty. The petitioner shall be at liberty to file an application for grant of stay, if so advised.

Consequently, M(W)P No. 791/2007 stands dismissed.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur